Swelling–shrinkage kinetics of MX80 bentonite
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Abstract

The swelling–shrinkage kinetic of an industrial Bentonite (MX80) was investigated using a new technique of environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) coupled with a digital image analysis (DIA) program (Visilog). This expansive clay was characterized by several analytic tools (ICP-AES, SEM, STEM, XRD, etc.), before applying the new ESEM technique.

The swelling–shrinkage was directly observed at high magnification and at different relative humidity states in an ESEM. Nine wetting/drying cycles were performed on the sample. Each cycle was performed at different relative humidities (55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, and 95%).

A DIA program was used to determine swelling–shrinkage. This method consists of estimating the percent augmentation of the surface as a function of time.

Finally, a swelling–shrinkage kinetic model was tested, based on a first-order linear kinetic equation.

The results show that the coupled ESEM and DIA can be a powerful method of estimating the swelling/shrinkage potential of expansive clays. The exponential model fits well with the experimental data.

© 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

The industrial and environmental uses of clays are expanding every year. For example, it is estimated that about 8 million tons of the bentonites were used in 1992 throughout the world (Murray, 2000). One of the principal applications for bentonite is in drilling muds. However, it is widely used as a suspending and stabilizing agent, and as an adsorbent or clarifying agent, in many industries. Recently, bentonite was proposed as a barrier protection in nuclear waste storage thanks to its very high swelling–shrinkage and water adsorption potentials (Pusch, 1992; Al-Tabbaa and Aravindhan, 1998). This makes, the estimation of the swelling–shrinkage potential of expansive clays in the laboratory very important. The methods available for the estimation of the swelling–shrinkage potential are grouped into two categories: physico-chemical and mechanical methods. The common analytical techniques used in physico-chemical methods are the X-ray diffusion
at small angles, X-ray diffraction, scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and imbibition. On the other hand, the classic experimental techniques used in the mechanical methods are the consolidometer test and the soil suction test (Parcevaux, 1980; Abdullah et al., 1999; Al-Rawas, 1998; Komine and Ogata, 1994).

This study proposes a new technique to estimate the swelling–shrinkage potential using a kinetic procedure by environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) coupled with the digital images analysis (DIA) program.

The ESEM was introduced in the last decade. It differs from conventional Scanning Electron Microscope on the permitted presence of a low vacuum in the sample chamber. Thus, wet, oily, dirty, or non-conductive samples can be examined in their natural state without modification or preparation (Jenkins and Donald, 2000). The sample environment can be varied through a range of pressures or temperatures (Baker et al., 1995).

The sample temperature ranging from −5 to 55 °C is controlled by a “cooling stage” installed on the ESEM. A differential pumping system permits also the control of the ESEM chamber pressure ranging from 0.1 to 10 Torr. These combined factors make the ESEM a powerful tool for investigating the in situ interactions between clay minerals and water.

The ESEM was used specifically to directly observe, at high magnification, the effect of the freshwater hydration on bentonite morphology “in general bentonite aggregates”. Free swell tests show that the “bentonite aggregates” swell intensively on contact with fresh water (Montes-H, 2002). We have performed in this study experiments to observe the swelling–shrinkage of an industrial bentonite (isolated aggregates). The swelling–shrinkage quantification is achieved using a digital image analysis program (Visilog 5.3). This digital image analysis consists of an estimate of the swelling–shrinkage surface as a function of time.

Finally, two exponential models were applied to fit the experimental data. For this it was necessary to consider that the swelling–shrinkage is equi-dimensional. This supposition seems logical considering the scale of aggregates in expansive clays (Montes-H, 2002).

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Bentonite characterization

The classical methods were used to estimate the mineralogy of the MX80 bentonite. In fact, the complete characterization was achieved by different French laboratories (LEM-CREGU). The description of each analytical technique is not considered in this study.

XRD analysis was carried out directly on bentonite-raw (minerals identification) and oriented clay fraction “<2 μm” (identification of clay family). A comparative analysis with the X-ray diffractogram corresponding to clay mineral permitted to identify the quartz and the montmorillonite as the main mineral phases. In addition the X-ray diffractogram corresponding to the clay fraction shows that the clay family corresponds to a typical smectite “montmorillonite”, since the ethylene glycol test shows a shift of the 12 Å peak up to 17.14 Å. The 12 Å peak is closed up to 9.66 Å when the sample is dried at 490 °C.

The clay fraction morphology and chemical composition were studied by means of STEM and ICP-AES. STEM analysis shows folded particles and turbostratic sheets stacking. The average chem-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral composition of the MX80 bentonite</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;P and T ambient&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;without molecular water&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>drying at 105 °C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montmorillonite</td>
<td>70.6±2.7</td>
<td>79.2±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlogopite 1M</td>
<td>2.7±2.7</td>
<td>3.0±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>0.7±0.5</td>
<td>0.8±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatase</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>8.2±2.7</td>
<td>9.2±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspath K</td>
<td>1.8±1.8</td>
<td>2.0±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz+cristobalite</td>
<td>2.5±2.5</td>
<td>2.8±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>0.5±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular water</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICP-MS and other complementary analysis (Sauzeat et al., 2001).
ical composition obtained by this method permitted a structural formula estimation:

\[(\text{Si}_{3.99}\text{Al}_{0.01})(\text{Al}_{1.54}\text{Mg}_{0.24}\text{Fe(III)}_{0.189})\times \text{Na}_{0.2186}\text{Ca}_{0.057}\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_{2}\]

This structural formula corresponds to a low charge montmorillonite with mixed “Na–Ca” filling interlayer.

ICP-MS and other complementary analyses for chemical composition were used to estimate the mineral composition of the MX80 bentonite (Table 1).

2.2. ESEM methodology

For all environmental scanning electron microscope investigations, an XL30 ESEM LaB6 (FEI and Philips) was used, with a gaseous secondary electron detector (GSED) to produce a surface image. This microscope is also equipped with a “cooling stage” to control the sample temperature.

ESEM manipulation to study the swelling–shrinkage kinetics consists basically of three stages.

2.2.1. Drying

The chamber pressure and sample temperature are fixed at 2.3 Torr and 50 °C, respectively. In this case, the relative humidity of the sample is 2.5% according to the water phases diagram. The sample is maintained at these “reference conditions” for about 15 min, and an image of an aggregate of interest is chosen and stored in the hard disk of the control PC.

2.2.2. Swelling

The chamber pressure and the sample temperature are simultaneously set at 8.2 Torr and 9 °C, respectively, and images are immediately taken approximately every 30 s for 10 min.

2.2.3. Shrinkage

Here, the chamber pressure and sample temperature are again brought back at reference conditions.

Fig. 1. Digital image analysis methodology. (A) ESEM image; (B) image binarization and isolation of the surface “aggregate” of interest; (C) image reconstitution and control verification.
Fig. 2. (a, b, c, d, f, g, h) Swelling–shrinkage cycles of the MX80 bentonite at eight relative humidities (55%, 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%). Experimental data fitted by exponential models.
Figure 2 (continued).

Swelling: 
\[ S = S_M \left( 1 - \exp(-k(t - t_0)) \right)^{2/3} \]

Shrinkage: 
\[ D = S_M \left( \exp(-k_d t) \right)^{2/3} \]

Relative Humidity: 80%

Relative Humidity: 75%
Swelling

\[ S = S_M (1 - \exp(-k(t - t_0)))^{2/3} \]

Shrinkage

\[ D = S_M (\exp(-k_d t))^{2/3} \]
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Fig. 2 (continued).

\[ S = S_M \left(1 - \exp(-k(t - t_0))^{2/3}\right) \]

\[ D = S_M (\exp(-k_d t))^{2/3} \]

Relative Humidity: 60%

Relative Humidity: 55%
(P=2.3 Torr and T=50 °C). The image acquisition is also done as a function of time for 10 min.

This kinetic procedure of swelling–shrinkage was applied on the same aggregate at nine relative humidities (95%, 90%, 85%, 80%, 75%, 70%, 65%, 60%, 55%). It was also applied with four aggregates of the different sizes at 80% of relative humidity.

Fig. 3. Absolute error behavior: (A) Swelling; (B) shrinkage.
2.3. DIA methodology

Digital image analysis allows of isolating an area of interest by a commercial software (Visilog 5.3). In this study, aggregates were always the area of interest.

Generally, the ESEM images have sufficient density contrast to apply a simple gray level threshold to isolate the area of interest. To minimize the experimental error on the measure (surface), the same procedure was applied manually five times. In addition each analysis was visually controlled by the reconstitution of binary image (Fig. 1).

The ESEM images of the test section occupied about 1424×968 pixels, and in all measurements, the same gray level range “0–256” has been considered.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental data

The experimental swelling–shrinkage data are derived from digital image analysis “bi-dimensional analysis”. The results are shown as surface augmentation and/or diminution as function of time. The swelling–shrinkage percentage is then estimated by:

$$S = \frac{S_t - S_i}{S_i} \times 100$$

where $S_i$ represents the surface at a $t$ instant time and $S_i$ represents the initial reference surface.

---

Fig. 4. Swelling kinetic of bentonite “aggregate”. Determination by coupled ESEM–DIA (Montes-H, 2002).
Fig. 2 shows the experimental swelling–shrinkage data concerning eight cycles at different relative humidities.

3.2. Measurements precision

The average standard deviation obtained after five repeated surface measurements was considered as an estimate of the absolute error on swelling–shrinkage.

\[ E = \frac{s(\bar{q})}{S_i}(200 + S) \]

where \( s(\bar{q}) \) is the average standard deviation, \( S_i \) is the initial surface and \( S \) is the swelling–shrinkage percentage.

The absolute error remains relatively low. However, the error is always more significant at low relative humidity (Fig. 3).

3.3. Curve fitting

3.3.1. Swelling

The experimental data were fitted using a kinetic model of the first order:

\[ \frac{dw}{dt} = k(w_M - w) \]

This kinetic model represents the volume augmentation as a function of time up to an asymptotic maximum of time. The integral form is represented by an exponential equation:

\[ w = w_M(1 - \exp(-kt)) \]

This equation can only be applied when volume varies. Unfortunately, the measurement estimated in this study corresponds to surface variations, and not to volume variations. If we consider that the swelling–shrinkage of the bentonite is equi-dimensional at an aggregate scale, the Eq. (4) can be written in terms of surface:

\[ S = S_M(1 - \exp(-kt))^{2/3} \]

The experimental data shows that the swelling kinetic of “aggregates of the bentonite (MX80)” can be defined by three stages (Fig. 4). The first stage is very difficult to model, because of the random arrangement of the particles in the initial water adsorption. In general this stage is characterized by a non-swelling feature and sometimes by an aggregate contraction. The second stage presents a high swelling during first minute, after the swelling decreases gradually up to an asymptotic maximum of time. This stage may be modeled by an exponential Eq. (5). The third stage is only presented at a critical relative humidity. Here it is produced as the “water over-saturation of an aggregate” with a critical relative humidity of 95%.

The final equation to fit only the second stage of bentonite swelling is then:

\[ S = S_M(1 - \exp(-k(t - t_0)))^{2/3} \]

where \( S_M \) is the maximum swelling (%), \( k \) is the coefficient of the swelling (1/min), and \( t_0 \) is the initial time of the exponential swelling.

3.3.2. Shrinkage

The shrinkage behaviour comprises one stage, which may be modeled by an exponential decay model. The final equation in terms of surface is:

\[ D = S_M(\exp(-k_d t))^{2/3} \]

where \( S_M \) is the maximum swelling (%) and \( k_d \) is the coefficient of the shrinkage (1/min).

Fig. 2 shows experimental data and fitting curves for eight swelling–shrinkage cycles at different relative humidities. In addition, the fitting parameters are showed in Tables 2 and 3. These parameters were estimated by non-linear regression using least-squares method.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humidity (%)</th>
<th>Fitting parameters</th>
<th>Regression coefficient, R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( S_M ) (%)</td>
<td>( k ) (1/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Discussion

3.4.1. Method validation

With direct observations with ESEM and with digital image analysis, it was possible to identify three swelling stages (Fig. 4). The first and second stages were also identified by the mechanical methods for other expansive clays (Al-Rawas, 1998; Wild et al., 1999).

The exponential models used to fit the swelling–shrinkage, are very well correlated with the experimental data since the coefficients of nonlinear regression estimated are close to 1 (Tables 2 and 3). This may be an indirect reason to confirm that the swelling–shrinkage is effectively equi-dimensional. The second reason to confirm the equi-dimensionality of the swelling–shrinkage “aggregate scale” is done by digital image analysis which shows a linear correlation between the width and the length of the aggregate (Fig. 5).

3.4.2. Swelling curves

The maximum swelling values $S_M$ estimated by the theoretical model for each relative humidity are very close to the experimental values. It was evident that the maximum swelling depends directly on the relative humidity (Fig. 6).

The swelling rate is determined by the $k$ swelling coefficient. This parameter depends on several factors. For example, Fig. 7 shows that the swelling coefficient decreases linearly when the relative humidity increases. It signifies that at high relative humidity, it takes more time to reach maximum swelling. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Humidity (%)</th>
<th>$S_M$ (%)</th>
<th>$k$ (1/min)</th>
<th>Regression coefficient, $R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90—2.5</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.9940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85—2.5</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.9954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80—2.5</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75—2.5</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.9959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70—2.5</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.9956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65—2.5</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.9932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60—2.5</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.9930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55—2.5</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.9784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bentonite shrinkage at eight relative humidities. Nonlinear regression by least squares method (Montes-H, 2002).

Fig. 5. Aggregate width and length correlation on the swelling–shrinkage. Estimation by digital image analysis (DIA).
Fig. 6. Swelling isotherm. Experimental data and theoretical data comparison “exponential model”.

\[ S_M = \left( \frac{S_e - S_i}{S_i} \right) \times 100 \]

- \( S_M \): Maximum swelling
- \( S_e \): Equilibrium surface
- \( S_i \): Reference surface

Fig. 7. The \( k \) swelling coefficient and relative humidity correlation. The \( k \) values are obtained by nonlinear regression “least-squares method” on the exponential model.

Regression coefficient: \( r = 0.9363 \)
negative linear correlation is only valid between 65% and 95% relative humidity. At lower relative humidity, the two swelling coefficient values do not present a correlation. This may be due to a decreasing swelling at low relative humidities. The coefficient of swelling also depends on the aggregate size. Fig. 8 shows that the coefficient of swelling decreases approximately linearly as the aggregate size increases. This linear correlation is only valid between 1800 and 7500 $\mu$m$^2$. The aggregates of low size do not present an uniform kinetic behaviour. Several experiences show a nearly instantaneous water over-saturation (for aggregates <100 $\mu$m$^2$), where the maximum swelling is low and there is very high sensitivity to the aggregate deformation. This may be due to the texture of the small aggregates, which are generally more compact. The inter-particle porosity is then lower, and during hydration, the slightly modified inter-particle porosity induces a lower aggregate swelling.

It is evident that the coefficient of swelling also depends on the nature of interlamellar cations and on the nature and composition of the expansive clay. These parameters are not evaluated in this study.

Finally, the parameter $t_0$ was defined to estimate the time at which the exponential swelling begins. Fig. 9 shows that $t_0$ decreases slightly when the relative humidity increases. That may be logical because the diffusion coefficient of water vapour is directly proportional to the relative humidity.

3.4.3. Shrinkage curves

The shrinkage model shown here contains only two fitting parameters, $S_M$ and $k_d$. The maximum swelling $S_M$ is the same fitting parameter used for shrinkage and swelling models. The $S_M$ estimations show a very good correlation between the two models (see Tables 2 and 3). On the other hand, the coefficient of shrinkage seems not to depend on the relative humidity. In fact, the shrinkage rate is similar under almost all relative humidities.

3.4.4. Comparison between swelling and shrinkage curves

In general, the swelling rate is higher than the shrinkage rate only when the “second stage” exponential swelling is considered. However, when the time taken by the first stage $t_0$ is also considered, it is

![Fig. 8. The $k$ swelling coefficient and aggregate size correlation. Aggregate size estimation by DIA.](image-url)
Fig. 9. Correlation between the initial time before exponential swelling, $t_0$, and relative humidity. (The $t_0$ values are obtained by nonlinear regression “least squares method” on the exponential model).

Fig. 10. Comparison between the theoretical swelling and shrinkage curves at 90% relative humidity. The theoretical shrinkage curve was reversed.
noticed that the swelling rate is much lower than the shrinkage rate. Fig. 10 shows a comparative example between the swelling and shrinkage curves at 90% relative humidity. It was necessary to reverse the shrinkage curve for this comparison.

4. Conclusions

Three main conclusions can be given in this work.

First, the results show that the coupling environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) with digital images analysis (DIA) is a powerful method to estimate the swelling–shrinkage potential of expansive clays. The main advantage of this method is the rapidity with which one obtains the qualitative and quantitative results. However, this method allows only to estimate the free swelling/shrinkage potential in the sample chamber.

Second, thanks to the direct observations with ESEM it was possible to identify three steps for the swelling kinetic: (1) particles arrangement; (2) exponential swelling; (3) water over-saturation. In addition, the exponential models and the digital “2D” image analysis confirm that the swelling–shrinkage potential of the MX80 bentonite is equi-dimensional “aggregate scale”.

Third, it was possible to demonstrate that the shrinkage speed is widely higher that the swelling speed thanks to the exponential models.
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