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SUMMARY

Elastic full-waveform inversion is an ill-posed and highly non-linear data-fitting procedure that is sensitive to noise, inaccuracies of the starting model and the definition of multi-parameter classes. In this study, we investigate the performances of different minimisation functionals, such as the least-square norm (L2), the least-absolute-values norm (L1), and some combinations of both (the Huber and the so-called Hybrid criteria), with an application to a noisy offshore synthetic data set. The four functionals are implemented in a massively parallel, 2D elastic frequency-domain full-waveform inversion algorithm. Results show that, unlike the L2 norm, the L1 norm, the Huber and the Hybrid criteria allow for successful imaging of VP and VS models from noisy data in soft-seabed environment, where the P-to-S waves have a small footprint in the data. The Huber and the Hybrid criteria appear however to be sensitive to a threshold criterion, which requires tedious trial-and-error investigations for reliable estimation. The L1 norm provides a robust alternative to the L2 norm in the framework of efficient frequency-domain full-waveform inversion where a limited number of frequencies are involved in the inversion.
Introduction

Robust quantitative seismic imaging of subsurface parameters is one of the main challenges for oil and gas reservoir characterisation. Full-waveform inversion (FWI) allows to derive high-resolution quantitative models of the subsurface through the exploitation of the full information content of the data (Tarantola, 1987). When applied in the frequency domain, computationally efficient FWI algorithms can be designed by limiting the inversion to a few judiciously chosen discrete frequencies (Sirgue and Pratt, 2004). However, FWI remains an ill-posed and highly non-linear inverse problem that is sensitive to noise, inaccuracies of the starting model and definition of multiparameter classes.

The footprint of the noise in seismic imaging is conventionally mitigated by stacking highly redundant multifold data. However, when the data redundancy is decimated in the framework of efficient frequency-domain FWI, it is essential to assess the sensitivity of inversion to noise. The impact of noise in FWI, when applied to decimated data sets, has been marginally illustrated in the past and the least-squares minimisation formalism remains the most commonly used criterion, although it theoretically suffers from poor robustness in the presence of large isolated and non-Gaussian errors. Other norms can therefore be considered. The least-absolute-values norm ($L_1$) has been shown to be weakly sensitive to noise in time-domain FWI (Tarantola, 1987; Crase et al., 1990) and in the frequency-domain (Brossier et al., 2009a). Alternative functionals, such as the Huber criterion (Huber, 1973; Guitton and Symes, 2003) and the Hybrid $L_1/L_2$ criterion (Bube and Langan, 1997) can also be considered. These criteria behave as the $L_2$ norm for small residuals and as the $L_1$ norm for large residuals. A threshold controls where the transition between these two different behaviours takes place. However, they assume Gaussian statistics as soon as the $L_2$ norm is used, leading to the difficult issue of the estimation of the threshold.

In this study, we present applications of 2D elastic frequency-domain FWI to a realistic synthetic data set contaminated by ambient random white noise. We assess the sensitivity of the different functionals to noise when the inversion is applied to few discrete frequencies in the framework of efficient frequency-domain FWI.

Theory

The aim of the FWI is to minimise the data residual vector $\Delta d$, the difference between the observed data $d_{ob}$ and the modelled data $d_{calc}$. The general form of the minimisation functional $C$ at iteration $k$ and its gradient $G$ for FWI can be written as:

$$
C_i^{(k)} = ||S_d \Delta d||_i, \quad G_i^{(k)} = R \{ J^* S_d^t r^* \},
$$

where $i$ is related to the chosen minimisation norm or criterion, $S_d$ is a data-weighting operator, $J$ is the Fréchet derivative matrix and $r$ is the source term of the backpropagated adjoint wavefield that is used in the adjoint-state method for efficient gradient building (Plessix, 2006). Symbols $\dagger$, $\dagger$ and $*$ denote the adjoint, the transpose and the conjugate operators, respectively. In this study, we consider the $L_2$ and $L_1$ norms, and the Huber and the Hybrid criteria. The Figure 1 shows the value of the different functionals and the amplitude of the corresponding adjoint-wavefield sources as a function of the data residual amplitudes. The $L_2$ norm naturally assigns a high weight to large residuals, which leads to a lack of robustness in the case of incoherent large errors in data. In contrast to the $L_2$ norm, data residuals are normalised by their amplitudes in the case of the $L_1$ norm. The Huber and Hybrid criteria follow the $L_2$ and $L_1$ behaviours for small and large residuals, respectively. The Huber and Hybrid criteria differ by the shape of the transition between the $L_2$ and $L_1$ behaviours and a threshold $\epsilon$ controls where the $L_2$-$L_1$ transition takes place.

These criteria are implemented in the massively parallel algorithm described in Brossier et al. (2009c)

Application to the synthetic elastic Valhall model

We assess the four minimisation criteria with the synthetic Valhall model (Figure 2(a)-(b)), which is representative of oil and gas fields in shallow water environment of the North Sea. The main targets are a gas cloud in the large sediment layer and the trapped oil underneath the cap rock of the anticlinal. The acquisition mimics a four-component ocean-bottom-cable survey, with a line of 315 explosive sources positioned 5 m below water surface, and 315 3C sensors on the sea bed at 70 m depth. The geological
Figure 1 The minimisation criteria (a) and the amplitude of the corresponding adjoint-wavefield source r (b) are plotted as a functions of an unweighted real arithmetic misfit $\Delta d$. $L_2$, $L_1$, and the Huber and Hybrid functionals are plotted with red, green, blue and black lines, respectively.

Figure 2 The true synthetic Valhall model for (a) P-wave and (b) S-wave velocities. (c-d) FWI starting models for $V_P$ (c) and $V_S$ (d).

setting leads to a particularly ill-posed problem for S-wave velocity reconstruction, due to the relatively small shear-wave velocity contrast at the sea bed, which prevents recording of significant P-to-S converted waves. Successful inversion requires a multi-step hierarchic strategy as the two-step approach described in Brossier et al. (2009b) for noise-free data:

1) In the first step, only the P-wave velocity is reconstructed from the hydrophone data, leading to a quasi-acoustic inversion. This first stage is justified by the fact that the P-to-S converted waves have a minor footprint in the hydrophone component.

2) In the second step, the $V_P$ and $V_S$ models are reconstructed simultaneously from the horizontal and vertical components of the geophones.

Five frequencies were inverted successively following the usual multiscale strategy of efficient frequency-domain FWI (2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 Hz). During each frequency inversion, we used 3 time-damping factors ($\gamma=2, 0.33, 0.1$ s$^{-1}$) applied in cascade to the monochromatic data to incorporate progressively later-arriving phases in the inversion. Starting models were built by smoothing the true models with a Gaussian filter (Figure 2(c)-(d)). This smoothing should reasonably mimic the spatial resolution of a velocity model developed by refraction traveltime tomography (Prieux et al., 2009). Ten iterations per damping factor were computed, leading to 30 iterations per frequency inversion. The density is constant and assumed to be known in the inversion. Source signature is estimated during inversion. Two tests were performed, with and without outliers in the data. For both tests, a random uniform white noise was added to the observed data (Figure 3). The signal-to-noise ratio was set to 10 dB, based on the power value of the signal.

FWI Results

For the first test, we considered only the ambient noise. The $V_P$ and $V_S$ models inferred from the four minimisation criteria after the second step of inversion are shown in Figure 4. $V_P$ models are well reconstructed for all functionals, whereas only the robust $L_1$ norm, the Huber and Hybrid criteria provide acceptable $V_S$ models.
Figure 3 Real part of the 4-Hz frequency-domain data in the source/receiver domain. (a) Noise-free hydrophone data; (b) added random white noise; and (c) resulting contaminated data used for FWI.

In this shallow-water environment with low velocity contrasts at the sea bed, the $V_P$ imaging is more linear than the $V_S$ imaging for two main reasons. Firstly, the larger P-wavelengths are less resolving than their S counterparts, and are therefore less sensitive to the inaccuracies of the starting model in the framework of a multi-scale reconstruction (Brossier et al., 2009c). Secondly, the P-waves dominate the seismic wavefield, whereas the P-to-S waves have a weaker footprint in the data. The limited signature of the S-waves in the data makes the inversion poorly conditioned for the S-wave parameter class, even with noise-free data. Brossier et al. (2009b) have showed how the hierarchical two-step strategy allows to increase the sensitivity of the inversion to the $V_S$ parameter during the second inversion step for a successful reconstruction of the $V_S$ model. However, adding noise to the data still contributes to weaken the sensitivity of FWI to the P-to-S arrivals. In this case, the two-step strategy implemented with the $L_2$ norm failed to reconstruct the $V_S$ model. In contrast to the $L_2$ norm, the $L_1$ norm, the Huber and the Hybrid criteria successfully converge towards acceptable $V_S$ models by mitigating the impact of the noise in the reconstruction.

In a second test, we have introduced outliers into the data: large errors (i.e., the noise was locally multiplied by 20) were introduced randomly in one trace out of a hundred, to simulate a poorly preprocessed data set. The $V_P$ models obtained after the first inversion step with the four functionals are shown in Figure 5. The $L_1$ norm, and the Huber and Hybrid criteria provide accurate $V_P$ models, whereas the inversion rapidly converges towards a local minimum when the $L_2$ norm is used. As expected, the $L_2$ norm fails to successfully reconstruct the $V_P$ model in the presence of high-amplitude isolated errors. Indeed, the $L_2$ norm amplifies the weight of the high-amplitude residuals during inversion, hence causing divergence of the optimisation if the residuals do not correspond to useful seismic arrivals. The $L_1$ norm, as well as the Huber and Hybrid criteria, shows stable behaviour for $V_P$ imaging in this unfavourable context, because the isolated high-amplitude outliers have a negligible contribution in these functionals.

**Conclusion**

Application of elastic FWI to noisy offshore data shows the strong sensitivity of the $L_2$ norm to non-Gaussian errors in the data, when decimated discrete frequencies are considered in FWI. The marginally used $L_1$ norm appears to be weakly sensitive to noise even in the presence of outliers, and provides stable results for FWI applications. Alternative functionals, such as the Huber and Hybrid criteria, which combine the $L_1$ and $L_2$ norms, provide stable results if the threshold controlling the transition between the two behaviours is well chosen. The judicious estimation of this threshold by trial-and-error is however a clear drawback of these criteria. More automatic functionals, such as the $L_1$ norm, should therefore be recommended for inversion of field data. The $L_1$ norm reveals an interesting alternative to the $L_2$ norm, especially when decimated data-sets are processed by efficient frequency-domain FWI.
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**Figure 4** Reconstructed $V_P$ (left panels) and $V_S$ (right panels) models for the first test with the ambient noisy data. (a-b) $L_2$ norm; (c-d) $L_1$ norm; (e-f) Huber criterion; and (g-h) Hybrid criterion.

**Figure 5** Reconstructed $V_P$ models for the second test with the noisy data containing outliers. (a) $L_2$ norm; (b) $L_1$ norm; (c) Huber criterion; and (d) Hybrid criterion.
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